

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/781,419		02/18/2004	Max R. Runyan	38190/273100	3048
826	7590	09/20/2005		EXAMINER	
ALSTO	N & BIRD I	LLP	JOHNSON, JONATHAN J		
	F AMERICA TH TRYON	A PLAZA STREET, SUITE 40	00	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	TTE, NC	,	1725		

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/781,419	RUNYAN, MAX R.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jonathan Johnson	1725					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMN 66(a). In no event, however, fill apply and will expire SIX (cause the application to bec	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Fe 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for forma						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-51 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideratio						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) object drawing(s) be held in a ion is required if the dr	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Par	erview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-19, 28-42, 52-60 are drawn to a method of friction stir welding, classified in class 228, subclass 112.1.
- II. Claims 20-27 and 43-51 are drawn to a friction welded product, classified in class428, subclass various.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by friction welding.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

<u>IF APPLICANT ELECTS GROUP I, THEN APPLICANT MUST ADDITIONALLY</u> <u>ELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:</u>

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Application/Control Number: 10/781,419

Art Unit: 1725

Ia. Claims 3-8, 30-34, and 53-54 are drawn to friction stir welding.

Ib. Claims 9-11, 41, and 55-56 are drawn to multiple pass friction stir welding.

Ic. Claims 17, 59, and 40 are drawn to the structural member.

Id. Claims 16, 39, and 58 are drawn to cold stretch forming.

Ie. Claims 15 and 38 are drawn to circulating a coolant.

If. Claims 14, 37, and 57 are drawn to heating.

Ig. Claims 12-13 and 35-36 are drawn to a die cavity.

Ih. Claims 19, 42, and 60 are drawn to the braze material.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-2, 18, 28-29, and 52 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Art Unit: 1725

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

IF APPLICANT ELECTS GROUP II, THEN APPLICANT MUST ADDITIONALLY ELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

IIa. Claims 21-22, and 44 are drawn to the structure.

IIb. Claims 23 and 45-46 are drawn to the location of the friction stir welded joint.

IIc. Claims 24-25 and 48 are drawn to multiple pass friction stir welding.

IId. Claims 27 and 49 are drawn to the location of the braze material.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 20, 26, 43, 47, 50, 51 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 10/781,419 Page 5

Art Unit: 1725

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP §

809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Jonathan Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1177. The examiner can normally

be reached on M-Th 7AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom

Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jonath JCh Jonathan Johnson Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1725